

Supplemental Material 2

Data Extraction Tool

Details of publication	
• First author	
• Reference	
Introduction	
• Aims	
Study participants	
• Country of study	
• Recruitment	
• Characteristics of participants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number - Setting (home, hospital etc) - Age / sex / social class / ethnicity 	
Methods	
• Date of fieldwork	
• Research methods	
• Analysis	
Key findings relevant to review	
1) What is current practice ? <i>Who prescribes, for whom, proximity to death?</i> <i>Who administers?</i> <i>Absence of AP?</i>	
2) What are the attitudes of patients to AP? <i>Patients' acceptance / views</i>	
3) What are the attitudes of family caregivers to AP? <i>Carers' acceptance / views</i>	
4) What are the attitudes of community healthcare professionals to AP? <i>HCPs' acceptance of AP, concerns, views re use, barriers, facilitators, etc.</i>	

Supplemental Material 2

Data Extraction Tool

5) Evidence for clinical effectiveness ? <i>Clinical: comfort / symptom control (who reported)</i>	
6) Evidence for cost / cost-effectiveness ? <i>Service use: admission avoidance, place of death, healthcare activity, etc.</i> <i>Cost: costs of drugs, admissions, healthcare activity, ect</i>	
Author(s) conclusion(s)	
Reviewer assessment of the internal validity, appropriateness and contribution of the study in answering the relevant research questions (Gough's WoE)	
Weight of Evidence A Coherence and integrity of the evidence <i>in its own terms</i>	
Weight of Evidence B Appropriateness of <i>form of evidence</i> for answering review question	
Weight of Evidence C <i>Relevance of the evidence</i> for answering review question	
Weight of Evidence D <i>Overall assessment</i> of study contribution to answering review question	