data. This needs to be investigated further because some of this information is important to assess issues of equity of access to bereavement services. Future work should define the minimum dataset of information which should be routinely collected by hospices.

### Involvement in Palliative and End of Life Care Research

Elspeth Mathie, Julia Jones, Lorna Jacobs, Graham Rhodes, Catherine Harvey, Sanjay Thakrar.

Involvement of those with experience of using care services is now an important and mandatory part of health and social care research, including palliative and end of life care. Those with direct experience of using palliative and end of life care (patients, carers, friends or family members), such as hospices and care homes can enhance and shape research (Goodman et al., 2011). The distinction is made between participants in studies who provide ‘data’ and research carried out ‘with’ or ‘by’ members of the public (as defined by INVOLVE). Involvement in these research areas, has its own challenges (Backhouse et al., 2016; Mockford et al., 2016) and innovative approaches are needed (Brighton et al., 2018).

Seeking involvement from people with experience of care at an early stage of research development is key to ensure the research is user-friendly, feasible and has relevant outcomes. Three organisations ran a joint workshop focussing on palliative and end of life care to enable researchers to receive face to face comments from people with experience of palliative and end of life care on their research projects in a ‘dragon’s den’ style session. We will share 1) our experience of this approach to involvement, 2) the experiences of the people who took part and 3) findings from the post evaluation. We will also outline the challenges of involving people in this research area, the importance of ‘feedback’ to those taking part (Mathie et al, 2018) and our learning for the future.

### Present Absentees: Treatment Escalation Planning

Paulette Kumi, Myrna Scott, Deborah Dawson. St. George’s Hospital, UK

Introduction Escalation planning and resuscitation decision-making is essential for effective patient management. Treatment escalation plan (TEP) provides an effective way of formalising timely decision-making regarding care and communicating ceilings of care. It is more holistic – clarifying a wider remit of treatment options than DNACPR offers (Lipar, T., 2013). This is especially important in cardiothoracic intensive care (CTICU) where CPR has an ever lower success rate due to the particular patient population and co-morbidities usually present (Barclay, S. et al., 2011).

Method A retrospective notes review was undertaken of patients admitted to St. George’s CTICU from April – June 2019. The aims were to assess:

- Adequacy of End of Life Care (EoLC): recognition of dying, care planning, and provision of formalised anticipatory measures.
- Whether Supportive and Palliative Care Indicators Tool (SPICT) and ‘frailty’ could have identified patients at risk of deteriorating health.

Result Of 107 patients sampled, less than 5% had TEP pre-admission. However, more than 80% required TEP on admission to ICU – with over 90% lacking capacity. SPICT identified 100% of patient requiring TEP while ‘frailty’ showed a lower percentage.

Discussion The above indicates that clinicians are missing the window where patients themselves can be involved in TEP discussions. The high percentage requiring TEP may also be indicative of the need for in-depth discussions around intensive palliative care, as awareness is raised about TEP.

Conclusion This study looks at the adequacy of EoLC and highlights the relevance of TEP form completion – especially at the ward level, where patients are more likely to have capacity. It also highlights the usefulness of SPICT and even stand-alone frailty in assessment of patient.