Conclusion ACP has benefits in improving decision making. More needs to be done to improve implementation of ACP for patients with heart failure.

**OP70** NORMATIVE PRINCIPLES OF ADVANCE CARE PLANNING: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

1N Fleuren*, 1M Depla, 2D Jansen, 3M Huissen, 1C Hertogh. 1Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Public Health, Amsterdam, Netherlands; 2MUMC, Maastricht, Netherlands

**Background** Since the introduction of the concept of advance care planning (ACP), many studies have been conducted exploring beneficial effects. These studies show a heterogeneity in clinical endpoints, which reflects diversity of goals connected to ACP. Clarification of underlying normative principles of ACP is crucial in understanding both motivation and hesitation to initiate ACP among health care professionals and patients. This study aims to clarify normative principles of ACP and to get insight in the range of normative principles that comprise the legitimacy of ACP.

**Methods** Systematic literature search in PubMed, EMBASE, PsycInfo, CINAHL and Cochrane Library, using various search terms for ‘ACP’ and ‘ethics’. Articles on normative aspects of ACP were included, based on title and abstract. Due to the quantity of inclusions, of which many had similar content, purposive sampling was used to select articles for full text document analysis. Analysis stopped once saturation was reached. Sensitivity analysis was performed to guarantee that infrequently mentioned goals and objections were found as well.

**Results** In total, 6497 unique articles were found of which 183 were included. Saturation was reached after document analysis of 55 articles (30%); this yielded 211 codes concerning normative principles of ACP. We identified 5 main normative principles for ACP: respecting individual patient autonomy, improving quality of care, strengthening relationships, improving quality of life, and reducing overtreatment.

**Conclusion** Defining normative principles of ACP should serve as a starting point when developing ACP interventions and selecting outcome measures to evaluate ACP interventions.

**OP72** WHO IS IN CONTROL? CULTURAL AND INSTITUTIONAL BARRIERS TO EOL DECISION IN JAPAN AND THE UNITED STATES

A Morita*. Hospice Hawaii, Honolulu, USA

Japanese and American healthcare providers enjoy abundant medical resources at their disposal, yet both systems often fail to adequately address a patient’s end-of-life (EOL) wishes for vastly different reasons.

In a society as highly structured in hierarchical and patriarchal dimensions as Japan’s, a terminal patient’s wishes are often superseded by the treating physician’s suggestions in deference to the doctor’s education and social status. In addition, a family member who has cultural authority tends to speak and make decisions on behalf of the dying person and the family.

Similarly, American healthcare providers often avoid the topic of EOL decision-making to deflect away from the realization that in spite of their formidable arsenal of available medical treatments, they are powerless to help. The American system of medical training also encourages physicians to advise their patients to fight an incurable disease to the end because the hope of prolonging life is never lost.

These cultural versus institutional factors have the same result. Oftentimes, the EOL wishes of a terminal patient is either not heard or lost at best. In either case, these factors have a major detrimental impact on the quality of a patient’s end-of-life experience as their wishes fall prey to more dominant cultural or social factors. This theoretical study discusses the importance of EOL conversation in providing quality care, and discusses how the medical professions in both countries
can approach EOL discussions with the cultural sensitivity and understanding to improve the quality of living and dying.

**OP73 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ADVANCE DIRECTIVES AND ADVANCE CARE PLANNING IN THE ITALIAN LAW 219/2017**

1F Ingravallo*, 1L De Panfilis. 1University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; 2Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

Background The Law 219/2017, entered into force on January 31, 2018, regulated for the first time advance directives (ADs) and advance care planning (ACP) in Italy. We aimed to examine main legal differences between ADs and ACP according to this law.

Methods The Law 2019/2017 was analyzed, and relevant differences between ADs and ACP were described.

Results ADs and ACP differed mainly with regard to subjects involved, legal formalities required, and the healthcare professionals’ duty to respect the patient’s will. ADs may be made by mentally competent adults through notarization or delivery to a municipal office or to a health facility with electronic health record database structure; ADs are, in principle, binding for physicians, but the physician, in agreement with the healthcare staff members.

Conclusion(s) The Italian Law 219/2017 set up a binary approach to guaranteeing patient self-determination in the case of lack of decision-making capacity, establishing the primacy of ACP carried out with the physician when patients’ outcomes are already predictable.

**OP74 ADVANCE CARE PLANNING BY PROXY: AN ANALYSIS OF THE ETHICO-LEGAL FOUNDATION**

RJ Jox*. Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

Background Advance care planning (ACP) in practice often includes conversations with family caregivers of those patients who have already lost decision-making capacity. This approach has been defined as ACP by proxy and rightly been pointed out as a distinct activity, but it blatantly lacks an ethico-legal foundation.

Method Theoretical analysis, drawing from bioethics, philosophical ethics, and international medical law.

Results In contrast to ACP by the patient, ACP by proxy has its core roots not on direct, but indirect patient autonomy. While the patient with his or her autonomous preferences is also at the heart of the process, the epistemological approach to assess these preferences has to pass via surrogates and others close to the patient. As the patient commonly cannot participate in the conversation, his or her preferences cannot be jointly developed by a kind of maieutic process, but have to be approximated by substituted judgment. Another key difference is the ethico-legal responsibility placed on the surrogate decision maker as well as on the healthcare team and ACP facilitator linked to this substituted judgment.

Conclusion Irrespective of shared values, ACP by the patient and ACP by proxy have distinct ethico-legal features that warrant particular consideration in the practical process of ACP, the qualification of ACP facilitators, and the documents used.

**OP75 THE DECISION MAKING CAPACITY IN AMYOTROPHIC LATERAL SCLEROSIS (ALS)**

1M Marogna*, 1N Balletto. 1Villa Scassi Hospital, ASL3 Genovese, Genoa, Italy; 2Gigi Ghirotti Association, Genoa, Italy

Background From the beginning and during the disease the ALS patients have to take difficult decisions about care and end of life. A sensible and open communication among patient and clinicians is an indispensable tool to ensure the freedom of choice and the recognition of the responsibility for everyone. Any intervention by the health professionals cannot forget the clinical complexity and the subjectivity of the patients who exercises their rights to know and to choose among technology opportunities. The aim of the study is to identify those elements that influence the patient’s choices.

Methods We examined 200 ALS patients taking care by palliative multidisciplinary team for 18 months about: withholding and withdrawing vital supports, mobility and communication aids, rehabilitation care and dying setting. We registered the respect for advance directives, the changing’s patients minds, the making informed choices, the clinicians attitudes about care planning and communication disability.

Results For every choice the decision making involves scientific aspects, patient’s quality of life, and community resources too. We showed that the choices are often not real free but depending on the clinicians’ point of view, the availability of high technology aids and the clinician-patient communication skills.

Conclusion The negotiation is the new aspect of the physician-patient relationship founded on empathy, respect and recognition of different competences. The clinician’ ethical-clinical reasoning could be a useful tool to improve the patient ability to choose on difficult clinical situations.

**OP76 ADVANCE CARE PLANNING: CORE COMPETENCY OF ELDERLY CARE MEDICINE IN THE NETHERLANDS**

1D Janssen, 2C Hertogh*, 1CIRO, Horn, Netherlands; 2VUmc, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Target audience professional caregivers, including physicians, nurses and allied healthcare professionals, researchers, policy makers.

Description The Dutch elderly care physician is a physician who specializes in long-term care for frail elderly people and patients with complex chronic health problems. Advance care planning (ACP) is a corner stone of elderly care medicine. The current session shows the importance of...