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Background Systematic evaluation of health care use and costs is important to identify the impact of advance care planning (ACP) programs. Such evaluations are currently scarce in Europe.

Methods Our study was performed in the context of the ACTION trial, a randomized controlled study to evaluate effects of the ACTION Respecting Choices (RC) ACP intervention in patients with advanced cancer in six European countries. We applied a healthcare perspective and identified hospital care use from hospital medical records for 1 year after study inclusion. Unit prices were calculated for all six countries separately. The unit price of the Respecting Choices’ ACP intervention was determined with the micro-costing method, which is based on detailed assessments of all resources used.

Results Most intervention patients had one ACP conversation, one third had two. The average length was 90 minutes (standard deviation 45 minutes). Unit costs were comparable between countries for most interventions, with the exception of cancer-specific treatment. Most patients received chemotherapy, with a minority receiving surgery. Preliminary analyses showed similar patterns of health care use in both ACP and control groups: numbers of diagnostic procedures such as scans and biopsies were comparable; mean (range) length of hospital stay was 9 days (0 - 63) and 8 days (0 – 75) in intervention and control patients, respectively.

Conclusion Unit costs of health care interventions were remarkably comparable between countries. The ACTION RC ACP intervention, consisting of conversations by patients, relatives, and facilitators, did not appear to affect hospital care use.
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Background Advance Care Planning aims at improving alignment of care with patients’ preferences. This may affect costs of medical care.

Aim To determine the costs of an Advance Care Planning programme and its effects on the costs of medical care and on concordance of care with patients’ preferences.

Design/settings/participants In a cluster randomised trial, 16 residential care homes were randomly allocated to the intervention group, where frail, older participants were offered facilitated Advance Care Planning conversations or to the control group. We calculated variable costs of Advance Care Planning per participant including personnel and travel costs of facilitators. Furthermore, we assessed participants’ healthcare use during 12 months applying a broad perspective (including medical care, inpatient days in residential care homes, home care) and calculated costs of care per participant. Finally, we investigated whether treatment goals were in accordance with preferences. Analyses were conducted for 97 participants per group. Trial registration number: NTR4454.

Results Average variable Advance Care Planning costs were €76 per participant. The average costs of medical care were not significantly different between the intervention and control group (€2360 vs €2235, respectively, p=0.36). Costs of inpatient days in residential care homes (€41,551 vs €46,533) and of home care (€14,091 vs €17,361) were not significantly different either. Concordance of care with preferences could not be assessed since treatment goals were often not recorded.