intervention= 70). Wellbeing was measured with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and Impact Event Scale (IES).

Results

No significant differences were found in wellbeing of FCs between groups. The mean scores for the HADS show no significant differences between groups for anxiety (mean score control 7.09 vs. mean score intervention 8.29) and depression (mean score control 6.72 vs. mean score intervention 7.17). No significant differences are found between groups in the mean scores for the IES. Intrusion had a mean score of 21.27 for control vs. 21.38 for intervention; Avoidance had a mean score of 10.34 for control vs. 12.72 for intervention.

Conclusion

Despite previous evidence about improved outcomes for wellbeing in FCs in ACP programs, our ACP intervention did not show differences between groups. Possibly the non-response or cultural discrepancies between the different countries have a part in this. More research is needed to explain what mechanisms are present.

This study is supported by a grant from the FWO (nr. G034717N).
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Background

Advance Care Planning (ACP) may prepare relatives of frail older patients for future decision-making.

Objective

To investigate (1) how bereaved relatives of frail older patients experience ACP conversations and (2) whether ACP has an effect on relatives’ preparation for decision-making and on their levels of anxiety and depression.

Design: Cluster randomised controlled trial.

Setting: Residential care homes in the Netherlands.

Subjects: Bereaved relatives of home residents and community-dwelling frail older patients.

Methods

We randomised 16 residential care homes to either the intervention group, where participants (frail older patients) were offered facilitated ACP, or the control group (n=201), where they received ‘care as usual’. If participants died, we approached relatives for an interview. We asked relatives who had attended ACP conversations for their experience with ACP. ACP positively affected preparedness for decision-making and levels of anxiety and depression (HADS) between groups. This trial was registered (NTR4454).

Results

We conducted interviews with 39/51 (76%) bereaved relatives (intervention group: n=20, control group: n=19). Relatives appreciated the ACP conversations. A few considered ACP redundant since they were already aware of the patient’s preferences. Nine of 10 relatives in the intervention group felt adequately prepared for decision-making as compared to five of 11 relatives in the control group (p=.03). Relatives’ levels of anxiety and depression did not differ significantly between groups.

Conclusions

In our study, bereaved relatives of frail older patients appreciated ACP. ACP positively affected preparedness for decision-making. It did not significantly affect levels of anxiety or depression.

**OP52** TO WHAT EXTENT DO ONLINE RESOURCES MEET THE NEEDS OF SUBSTITUTE DECISION-MAKERS IN AUSTRALIA? PART 2

1M Sellars*, 1I Tran, 1L Nolte, 2B White, 3C Sinclair, 4D Fetherstonhaugh, 5K Detering. 1Austin Health, MELBOURNE, Australia; 2Australian Centre of Health Law Research, Queensland University of Technology, Queensland, Australia; 3Clinical School of Rural Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia; 4Australian Centre for Evidence Based Aged Care, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; 5Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Science, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
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A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF ECONOMIC EVALUATIONS OF ADVANCE CARE PLANNING: DATA LIMITATIONS AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS


Background Evidence regarding the degree and direction of economic impacts of implementing Advance Care Planning (ACP) is inconsistent. Also, available reviews have not systematically assessed the quality of the costing data in the primary studies. We aimed to synthesize current evidence on the economic impacts of implementing ACP and explore implications for policy and practice.

Methods We conducted a comprehensive search of online bibliographic databases. Reference lists of included articles were also reviewed. We assessed the quality of costing in studies using the Consensus on Health Economics Criteria Checklist (CHEC).

Results We included 33 studies; the majority were from the USA (78.8%). Studies were conducted in various settings, mostly hospitals (60%). Almost 64% of studies reported cost savings from the healthcare systems’ perspectives; no study included patients’ perspectives (out-of-pocket-costs). Assessing quality of costing using CHEC revealed weaknesses in studies including: flaws with costs identification (37.9%), measurement (39.3%), and valuation (44.8%); no consideration of intervention costs (87.9%); not including all relevant variables in sensitivity analyses (34.5%); and not discounting the costs (55.6%).

Discussion We detected substantial methodological issues with current economic evaluations of ACP that compromise the validity of evidence. To inform policy makers about ACP, which is a multifaceted process, methodologically robust studies are needed that capture costs of the program from all major payers. A comprehensive report on cost evaluations is highly recommended. Meanwhile, respecting patient choice remains a valid clinical basis for promoting use of ACP.

DELIVERING SYSTEM-WIDE ADVANCE CARE PLANNING SUPPORT IN REAL-WORLD SETTINGS: ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS. AN EXPLORATORY, QUALITATIVE STUDY IN TWELVE INTERNATIONAL HEALTHCARE ORGANISATIONS


Background Facilitation of ACP conversations is time consuming, whether undertaken in one or multiple shorter discussions. Our exploratory, qualitative study in twelve healthcare systems (US, Canada, New Zealand, Australia) in system-wide ACP support explored:

- organizational rationales for provision, including perspectives on the economic case
- type and organization of staffing
- ways of providing high-quality, system-wide support cost-efficiently.

Methods Interviews with leaders, ACP specialists, physicians, nurses, social workers and others (average n=13) were conducted in twelve purposively-sampled healthcare systems. Data were transcribed and thematically analysed using NVivo software.

Results System-wide ACP support was primarily a strategic response to risks associated with increased availability and use of life-prolonging interventions in serious illness and frailty. Overall cost-savings were not expected.

Staffing ACP support was challenging. While professionals often needed more protected time, promising approaches included team-based provision, especially physicians working with nurses and social workers, and systematic incorporation into chronic and routine care.

Skilled and experienced staff underpinned cost-effective provision. While dedicated facilitators were not scalable or sustainable, some level of specialization and voluntarism, with plentiful opportunities to develop skills in practice, was indicated.

ACP support was provided equally efficiently by experienced staff regardless of guides or approach used. Serious illness conversations could build on earlier ACP support. Community- and group-based approaches were thought cost-efficient, increasing reach and supporting later planning and decision-making.

Conclusions Investments in ACP support were justified by management of organizational risk and high-quality patient care. Our findings identify areas where cost-efficiencies in provision of system-wide ACP support may be found.