25 patients received VTE prophylaxis within the last 72 hours of life. Of these, 12 had VTE prophylaxis stopped in the coming days. 6 patients who were recognised as dying received VTE prophylaxis in their last 24 hours of life.

**Conclusions** The results suggest that guidance regarding pharmacological thromboprophylaxis in the last days of life is not consistently followed even when the dying process has been recognised. They also highlight that improvement is needed in recognising the dying patient in the acute setting and that locally there is inadequate uptake of our ‘last days of life’ care plan.

**Comparing the Assessment of End of Life Care in the Structured Judgement Review with an End of Life Audit**

Sara Robbins, Kate Tredgett. Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
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**Background** The Structured Judgement Review (SJR) is a validated and standardised method for reviewing case notes of patients who died in hospital. One section assesses end of life care (EOLC); this can be rated from very poor to excellent. This audit aims to evaluate whether the SJR is providing us with an accurate assessment of the quality of EOLC in an Oncology inpatient department.

**Methods** This is a retrospective audit of adult patients that died on Oncology wards in 2017 and had an SJR completed. The end of life audit assessed whether the Hospital Trust’s Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) were achieved. National standards were used to audit specific aspects of the individualised care plan. The care ratings and comments from each SJR were then compared with the end of life audit.

**Results** The notes of six patients were reviewed. The SJR ratings for EOLC were ‘very good’ in five cases and ‘excellent’ in one. However, the EOLC audit using Trust KPI’s showed more variation in the quality of care. In three cases where the SJR rated ‘very good’ or ‘excellent’, this compared well with the EOLC audit where they achieved 80%–90% of Trust KPI’s. In two cases where the SJR rated ‘very good’, they only achieved 30% of Trust KPI’s. The final case was again rated ‘very good’, but only achieved 50% of Trust KPI’s. The comments in the SJR did not discuss the same issues that the EOL audit raised. For example, some focused on treatment escalation plans being completed rather than the quality of care.

**Conclusion** The recorded quality of EOLC according to SJR’s did not consistently reflect the quality of care being given. In order to improve the accuracy of the SJR, we attached key KPI’s on EOLC to the SJR form as a prompt for reviewers.

**Service Evaluation of the Use of Fluids at End of Life Across Two Inpatient Palliative Care Units**

Jenny Brocklebank, Constantina Pitsillides, Olivia Liddle, Roseleen Sheehan, Rachel Parry, Samuel Fingas, Eleanor Smith. Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Macmillan Palliative Care Unit (SLH) and Macmillan Palliative Care Unit (MPCU).
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**Background** ‘Five Priorities of Care’ requires an individualised plan for hydration at end of life and discussion with patients/those important to them. However there is little research surrounding this topic and the use of fluids is often dependent upon the individual prescriber.

**Methods** A service evaluation of the current practice in Sheffield’s inpatient palliative care units.

A retrospective case-note review of deceased patients over a two-month period at St Luke’s Hospice (SLH) and Macmillan Palliative Care Unit (MPCU), 37 and 20 cases respectively.

A qualitative staff survey of individual thoughts and practices with fluids.

**Results** Consistently good documentation of discussions about hydration risks and benefits, but less for symptoms of dry mouth and mouth care. These discussions were documented more often at SLH than MPCU (97/81% vs 60/35%). MPCU offered and prescribed fluids 2.5 times more often. Most commonly prescribed 1 litre of normal saline over 24 hours. Most common reason for stopping fluids was skin pooling (75% SLH) and secretions (89% MPCU). Documentation of discussions surrounding this seen for all cases SLH, 50% cases MPCU.

An inability to quench thirst ranked first on survey regarding reasons for fluid delivery, opposed to dry mouth in clinical practice. Opinion was that the likely benefit from fluids was almost equal to the risk of harm. Subcutaneous delivery was the preferred route for being less invasive.

**Conclusions** Hydration at end of life needs to be individualised and regularly reviewed. Documentation was higher at SLH than MPCU regarding fluids, due to the ADD CARING pneumonic. Steps have been made at MPCU to prompt consideration of fluids at end of life. Improved documentation needed for dry mouth symptoms/mouth care.

**Archaeological Dig: A Historical Review of Local Evidence, Guidelines and Clinical Practice in Response to the Gosport Enquiry**

Clare Finnegans, Karen E Groves. Lancashire Care Foundation Trust, Queenscourt Hospice, Southport and Ormskirk NHS Hospital Trust
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**Background** The Gosport Report suggests over 450 patients died due to ‘dangerous doses’ of medication combinations without clinical indication. A cross-boundary integrated specialist palliative care service reviewed factors influencing prescribing culture for patients approaching end-of-life.

**Methods** A historical review of pain management and syringe driver use was considered alongside locality guidelines. Training programmes were reviewed and meetings held with stakeholders. Audits were undertaken to seek assurance of safe local prescribing practices.

**Results**

- During the Gosport period, there was clear guidance available for safe opioid starting doses, dose equivalences & syringe driver use.
- Medical Devices Alerts regarding the risks of multiple syringe driver devices in use, led to use of a single device across locality. Local guidelines have always stated no indication for ‘anticipatory prescribing for medication via a syringe driver’.