development of the first UK wide palliative trainee research collaborative.

**Methods** The UK Palliative Care Trainee Research Collaborative (UKPRC) was established in 2017. Modelled on other successful trainee collaboratives, we employed the following steps:

1. Writing and adopting a constitution that enshrines shared authorship as a central tenant;
2. Identifying a core group of interested trainees;
3. Nominating a clinical and research lead;
4. Defining a brand; logo, website, email address, newsletter and twitter profile;
5. Choosing a project;
6. Recognising crucial stakeholders to disseminate information and garner support.

**Results** Launched publicly in August 2018, the UKPRC already has members from 12/14 of the UK deaneries. The first national audit project is underway aiming to recruit 40 sites across all sectors in the UK. The UKPRC has a website, 186 followers on twitter and has been discussed by the APM and across all sectors in the UK. The UKPRC has the potential to conduct audit and research across hospice and hospital sectors UK wide, which will inform evidence-based practice and ultimately aims to improve patient care. Collaboratives may strengthen the research culture within palliative medicine, embedding research activity and evidence-based practice from the first year of training.

**Background** A baseline audit demonstrated a need to improve anticipatory prescribing at the end of life in a community setting. The specialist palliative care team facilitated education on end of life care prescribing. The impact of the education delivered was evaluated using a combination of methods.

**Method** Six sessions on anticipatory prescribing were delivered to 93 General Practitioners (GPs) in year one and five sessions to 56 GPs in year two. A mixture of teaching methods was used both years. Delegate feedback was collected for all sessions. Informal education/coaching with GPs was also provided. A prospective re-audit was conducted of 50 community prescription charts to identify any change in prescribing practice.

**Results** A re-audit showed anticipatory medication was prescribed more frequently for the five core symptoms. The increase in prescribing frequency ranged from 19% to 49%. This finding is consistent with an improvement in delegate confidence scores. However, half the patients had an error on their community prescription chart compared to 41% in the baseline audit. This should be considered in the context of each chart containing more prescriptions than in the previous audit. 26% had an error due to an incorrect frequency of as required medication. Other errors identified included the dose being too high (8%) and too low (6%).

**Conclusion** The audit showed a significant improvement in practice; with anticipatory medication for all five core symptoms prescribed more frequently. GPs need to prescribe anticipatory medication relatively infrequently which may contribute to the errors observed on half of the community prescription charts. To reduce such errors a commitment to on going education is required. Overall the education programme delivered by specialists in palliative care resulted in a positive change in practice.