Background Rehabilitation is advocated as an essential component of palliative care (Tiberini & Richardson, 2015) and is increasingly being adopted throughout various care settings. However, data on efficacy and key components of rehabilitation are lacking (Salakari, Surakka, Nurminen et al., 2015). There is a persuasive argument that any rehabilitation programme should combine exercise and nutrition, which together may improve physical function and quality of life, but this needs to be assessed (Payne, Larkin, McIlfatrick et al., 2013; Chasen, Bhargava, MacDonald, 2014). The ENeRgy trial will compare an Exercise and Nutrition based Rehabilitation programme with standard care, in patients with advanced cancer. The trial is funded by Marie Curie and the Chief Scientist Office.

Aims The primary aim of the ENeRgy trial is to assess the feasibility of an Exercise and Nutrition based Rehabilitation programme. Secondary aims will assess patient and partner-carer quality of life measures, functional and nutritional status, contamination of the control group and health economic impact.

Methods A single centre, randomised (1:1), unblinded feasibility trial is underway. Patients are randomised to receive an exercise and nutrition based rehabilitation programme (intervention) or standard care (control). Eligible patients meet the following criteria: >18 years; Karnofsky Performance Status >60; have incurable cancer; not currently undergoing anti-cancer treatment (bisphosphonates and hormone therapies are permitted).

Results The trial is recruiting until February 2019. Preliminary results are encouraging with 16% recruitment and 20% attrition rates. Patients are tolerating the individualised rehabilitation programme and positive feedback is emerging in terms of patient centred outcomes.

Conclusions The ENeRgy trial is a key step in defining, developing and assessing the feasibility of an outpatient, hospice based rehabilitation programme in this patient cohort. The results of this feasibility trial may pave the way for a wider, multi-centre trial to generate high quality evidence for rehabilitation in advanced cancer patients. This research has the potential to further guide the evolving arena of Rehabilitative Palliative Medicine.

Background The rapid development of technology creates opportunities to improve the delivery of healthcare. An example of a technological innovation with the potential to improve palliative care is the use of virtual reality (Bower, 2014). Previous studies have demonstrated that virtual reality (VR) is beneficial in certain situations (such as distraction therapy for pain management) and there some examples of use in hospices (Bower, 2014; Chirico, Lucidi, De Laurentiis et al., 2016; Mahrer & Gold, 2009; Sharar, Miller, Teelely et al., 2008). However, to date there is little data in the literature concerning the potential benefits of VR therapy in palliative care.

Aim This project will determine the feasibility of using VR distraction therapy in specialist palliative care hospital and hospice inpatient settings.

Method This project will be conducted according to the Plan, Do, Study and Act (PDSA) quality improvement cycle. Samsung Gear VR headsets will be used to deliver the VR experience. Participants will be recruited from the Marie Curie Hospice Liverpool and the Academic Palliative Care Unit of the Royal Liverpool University Hospital. Participants will select videos from a curated content library and will be interviewed following completion of the VR session. Information about the patient experience, length of VR sessions, content choice and adverse effects will be recorded. This project is part of the Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust Global Digital Exemplar (GDE) programme and will be conducted over two months.

Expected outcomes The outcomes from the VR evaluation will help to develop future research, to study how VR can improve patient experience and support clinical care. Specifically, future work can examine whether VR-based distraction therapy can improve the symptom management for patients undergoing procedures. The outcomes of this project will be used to develop policy to support the wider adoption of VR in other hospital departments and hospices.

Parallel session 6: Care settings and service design
Aims The aims were to:
- map the extent of hospice community volunteering services
- gather information about services already in place
- identify barriers to developing services
- inform the development of resources for hospices interested in establishing community volunteering services.

Methods A 40 item online questionnaire was developed, reviewed by the project reference group, piloted and revised. Comprising multiple choice and open-ended questions, it was circulated to the full membership of Hospice UK; 225 hospices including 14 combined adult and children’s services and 25 children’s hospices. Quantitative analysis was undertaken using both the online survey data output and Excel. Qualitative data were analysed using a framework approach. Children’s hospice data were analysed and reported separately.

Findings from adult hospices
- 13 adult hospices responded
- 70% had community volunteering services (CVS)
- 61% of hospices without CVS were keen to develop these
- the majority of hospice CVS were staff-led.

Benefits included:
- improved wellbeing for patients, families and volunteers
- respite for families/careers
- improved access to a range of services for patients and families
- improved quality of care
- staff having greater understanding of patients’ and family needs
- staff skills and time more effectively used
- increased service provision.

Barriers to development included:
- lack of resources (including funding, staff, volunteers and time)
- concern over lone-working, safeguarding and insurance.

Respondents indicated that they would find materials to guide development helpful.

Conclusions Hospice community volunteering services appear to be widespread and growing. There are clear benefits for patients, families, volunteers, organisations and staff.

Aims To move from a ‘specialist’ DT model towards a mixed model, including a range of outpatient opportunities and more ‘light touch’ services. To evaluate services including attendance figures, OACC (Outcome Assessment and Complexity Collaborative) and other outcome measures and user feedback.

Methods Some existing services maintained, alongside new services:
- Therapeutic group work for those with complex physical, emotional, social and spiritual needs, including nursing assessment and involvement of MDT as appropriate
- Rehabilitative and psychoeducational groups encouraging self management
- Increased outpatient services
- Social drop-in groups, including café style and creative social groups, delivered by a therapy assistant and volunteer.

Results Due to routine overbooking, between 96%–120% of available sessions were booked with attendance ranging from 46% to 101%. Drop-in sessions were particularly successful, with 92%–101% attendance. Around 70% of people accessing services have a non-cancer diagnosis. User feedback has been positive for sessions, including quotes such as ‘supportive’ and ‘something to get out of bed for’. OACC data have demonstrated that people have complex, multidimensional needs. De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale outcomes have improved in social groups and have highlighted individuals’ social needs.

Conclusions People accessing Day Therapy services have a complex mixture of physical, psychological and social needs and services need to be designed to meet these in appropriate and cost effective ways.

A REDESIGN OF DAY THERAPY SERVICES; FROM SOCIAL GROUPS TO ‘SPECIALIST CARE’ AND BACK AGAIN

Emily Stowe, Sarah Thompson, Carolanne Brannan. St Clare Hospice, Hastingwood, UK

Background Eight years ago Day Therapy (DT) services switched from being a mainly social/medical model, towards a therapeutic model, alongside medical care. There was a focus on providing ‘specialist’ palliative care and moving away from social models of care. Over time, with a changing patient population, it became apparent that this model was not meeting their needs and was providing a costly service for those with only social needs. Services were re-evaluated and a new model was developed to address the needs of our current and future patients.

Method An online survey was developed, piloted, and disseminated to adult hospices in the UK and ROI. Questions include hospice characteristics, provision of services facilitating social support, access issues, use of patient outcomes, and availability of cost data.

Results 107 hospices responded to the survey (c. 50% of eligible hospices). A diverse range of service models were identified. Results include descriptive statistics of the sample, categories of services identified, and salient access issues. Implications of findings for research and economic evaluation are discussed.