Abstracts

O-14 ARE WE STILL PERFORMING INAPPROPRIATE CARDIOPULMONARY-RESUSCITATION ATTEMPTS AT THE END OF LIFE?

Sally Armond, James Wallace, North West Ambulance Service, Cheshire, UK; Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation, Warrington, UK. 10.1136/bmjspcare-2018-hospiceabs.14

Background Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is likely to be inappropriate for patients who are approaching the end of life. Since 2006, General Practitioners (GPs) have been incentivised to maintain an end of life care (EoLC) register of patients considered to be in the final 12 months of life. Supportive Advance Care Planning (ACP) can then be provided, which may incorporate a ‘do-not-attempt-cardiopulmonary-resuscitation’ (DNACPR) decision. Anecdotally, paramedics felt a significant proportion of patients eligible for EoLC remain unidentified by their GP, often resulting in emergency interventions at the end of life, which may not be in the patient’s best interests.

Aim To identify the number of patients transferred to the local Emergency Department (ED) with CPR ongoing who were eligible for inclusion on an EoLC register.

Methods Medical records of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients transferred with CPR ongoing to the ED of a district general hospital in the North West of England were reviewed over a 12 month period. Records were compared against Gold Standards Framework Proactive Indicator Guidance (GSF PIG), an evidence based tool for facilitating earlier identification of patients who may be approaching the end of life.

Results Of 86 cases identified, 39.5% (n. 34) met GSF PIG indicators, all died in the ED. Of these, 94.1% (n. 32) had general signs of decline and 91.2% (n. 31) presented with advanced disease. Frailty was the most prevalent presentation at 76.5% (n.26). Among the frail, 57.7% (n. 15) had significant comorbidities. 8.8% (n. 3) had formally recorded a choice for no further active treatment, yet no DNACPR had been recorded.

Conclusions Results indicate that inappropriate CPR was carried out on approximately four out of 10 OHCA patients. We are currently evaluating how paramedics can assist GPs in reducing this figure by facilitating timely uptake of ACP conversations and DNACPR decisions in the community.

Parallel session 5: Switching focus: finding new ways to support palliative care patients

O-15 SUPPORTIVE CARE IN CIRRHOSIS: HOW AN MDT CAN IMPROVE CARE FOR PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED LIVER DISEASE

Suzanne Ford-Dunn, Kim Batchelor, Stephen Kriese, Sam Thomson, St Barnabas House, Worthing, UK; Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Worthing, UK. 10.1136/bmjspcare-2018-hospiceabs.15

Introduction Liver disease is the fifth commonest cause of death in the UK; the only major cause of death still increasing (Public Health England, 2015). National data show 73% of deaths occur in hospital (National End of Life Care Intelligence Network, 2012) and few patients are referred to palliative care services (PC) despite complex symptoms and psychosocial needs. Although preferred place of death (PPD) for patients with end stage liver disease (ESLD) is unknown, studies of other diagnoses show the majority of patients prefer to die at home, rather than hospital (Office for National Statistics, 2015). We aimed to increase access to PC for patients with ESLD and ascertain PPD.

Methods We commenced a monthly ESLD MDT, comprising Hepatology and PC Consultants, hospice ESLD Clinical Nurse Specialist (CNS), Alcohol CNS, Social Worker and hospital PC CNS. The MDT reviewed patient needs, coordinated care and initiated referrals to community services. Patients referred to the ESLD CNS received holistic assessment, advance care planning and contingency plans for future acute decompensation events.

Results In the first 12 months of the new MDT there were 43 deaths with ESLD in our locality, 60% in hospital, 37% in community (home/hospice); contrasting to 73% and 26% nationally, 79% of all patients were known to PC at the time of death. Of 22 patients that expressed a PPD, 11 chose home and 11 hospice; none preferred to die in hospital. Of 22 patients under the hospice ESLD CNS, 73% died out of hospital (seven home, nine hospice). 68% of patients under the ESLD CNS died in their preferred place of care.

Conclusions Most patients with ESLD prefer to die out of hospital, consistent with other terminal illnesses. Although ESLD patients present a challenging symptom burden it appears an MDT approach including a dedicated hospice CNS can help increase referrals to PC, and help more patients die in their preferred place.

O-16 A RETROSPECTIVE AUDIT LOOKING AT THE MANAGEMENT OF DIABETIC PATIENTS IN THEIR LAST DAYS OF LIFE

Jennifer Creed, Royal United Hospital Bath, Bath, UK. 10.1136/bmjspcare-2018-hospiceabs.16

Aim To review the current management of patients with diabetes in their last days of life.

Background An estimated 25% of patients in palliative care settings have diabetes (or steroid induced diabetes) (Diabetes UK, 2018). The focus of care for these patients should be comfort; avoiding unnecessary blood sugar testing, preventing symptomatic high and low blood sugar levels, while keeping medication burden to a minimum.

Method Audit of notes (16–23 January 2018) of patients who died with diabetes and were on the end of life care pathway. We reviewed if there had been discussion with the patient surrounding diabetic management, whether there was a review of diabetic medication and a review of blood sugar testing. We audited how often patients had their blood sugars checked in their last seventy-two and twenty-four hours of life and if there were any high (>20) or low (<4) blood sugar recordings. We also looked at when diabetic medications were stopped.

Results A total of 21 patients were included. There was one (5%) documented discussion with the patient surrounding diabetic management at the end of life. There was one (5%) review of diabetic medication and four (19%) reviews of blood sugar testing. On average patients had their blood sugar checked five times in their last seventy-two hours of life.
(range 0–14) and twice in their last twenty-four hours (range 0–5). Hyperglycaemic episodes were recorded on two occasions and a hypoglycaemic episode was recorded once. Of those patients on oral agents (five) these were stopped between 48–120 hours before death. Of patients on insulin (four) all patients had injections in their last 24 hours of life.

Conclusion Patients with diabetes make up a significant proportion of palliative populations. We currently are not discussing with patients about how best to manage their diabetes, resulting in numerous blood sugars tests, high and low blood sugars and a significant medication burden.

**O-17 SEARCHING FOR THE HOLY GRAIL? EXERCISE AND NUTRITIONAL REHABILITATION (ENeRgy) IN PATIENTS WITH CANCER**

Charlie Hall, Barry Laird. St Columba’s Hospice, Edinburgh, UK; Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

**Background** Rehabilitation is advocated as an essential component of palliative care (Tiberini & Richardson, 2015) and is increasingly being adopted throughout various care settings. However, data on efficacy and key components of rehabilitation are lacking (Salakari, Surakka, Nurminen et al., 2015). There is a persuasive argument that any rehabilitation programme should combine exercise and nutrition, which together may improve physical function and quality of life, but this needs to be assessed (Payne, Larkin, McIlfatrick et al., 2013; Chasen, Bhargava, MacDonald, 2014). The ENeRgy trial will compare an Exercise and Nutrition based Rehabilitation programme with standard care, in patients with advanced cancer. The trial is funded by Marie Curie and the Chief Scientist Office.

**Aims** The primary aim of the ENeRgy trial is to assess the feasibility of an Exercise and Nutrition based Rehabilitation programme. Secondary aims will assess patient and partner carer quality of life measures, functional and nutritional status, contamination of the control group and health economic impact.

**Methods** A single centre, randomised (1:1), unblinded feasibility trial is underway. Patients are randomised to receive an exercise and nutrition based rehabilitation programme (intervention) or standard care (control). Eligible patients meet the following criteria: >18 years; Karnofsky Performance Status >60; have incurable cancer; not currently undergoing anti-cancer treatment (bisphosphonates and hormone therapies are permitted).

**Results** The trial is recruiting until February 2019. Preliminary results are encouraging with 16% recruitment and 20% attrition rate. Patients are tolerating the individualised rehabilitation programme and positive feedback is emerging in terms of patient centred outcomes.

**Conclusions** The ENeRgy trial is a key step in defining, developing and assessing the feasibility of an outpatient, hospice based rehabilitation programme in this patient cohort. The results of this feasibility trial may pave the way for a wider, multi-centre trial to generate high quality evidence for rehabilitation in advanced cancer patients. This research has the potential to further guide the evolving arena of Rehabilitative Palliative Medicine.

**O-18 VIRTUAL REALITY DISTRACTION THERAPY IN PALLIATIVE CARE: A FEASIBILITY STUDY**

Mark Mills, Simon Roughneen, Stephen Mason, Laura Chapman, Andrew Khodabakus, Amara Nwosu. Marie Curie Hospice Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; Academic Palliative and End of Life Care Centre, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust, Liverpool, UK; Palliative Care Institute Liverpool, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

**Background** The rapid development of technology creates opportunities to improve the delivery of healthcare. An example of a technological innovation with the potential to improve palliative care is the use of virtual reality (Bower, 2014). Previous studies have demonstrated that virtual reality (VR) is beneficial in certain situations (such as distraction therapy for pain management) and some examples of use in hospices (Bower, 2014; Chirico, Lucidi, De Laurentiis, et al., 2016; Mahrer & Gold, 2009; Sharar, Miller, Teelley, et al., 2008). However, to date there is little data in the literature concerning the potential benefits of VR therapy in palliative care.

**Aim** This project will determine the feasibility of using VR distraction therapy in specialist palliative care hospital and hospice inpatient settings.

**Method** This project will be conducted according to the Plan, Do, Study and Act (PDSA) quality improvement cycle. Samsung Gear VR headsets will be used to deliver the VR experience. Participants will be recruited from the Marie Curie Hospice Liverpool and the Academic Palliative Care Unit of the Royal Liverpool University Hospital. Participants will select videos from a curated content library and will be interviewed following completion of the VR session. Information about the patient experience, length of VR sessions, content choice and adverse effects will be recorded. This project is part of the Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust Global Digital Exemplar (GDE) programme and will be conducted over two months.

**Expected outcomes** The outcomes from the VR evaluation will help to develop future research, to study how VR can improve patient experience and support clinical care. Specifically, future work can examine whether VR-based distraction therapy can improve the symptom management for patients undergoing procedures. The outcomes of this project will be used to develop policy to support the wider adoption of VR in other hospital departments and hospices.

**Parallel session 6: Care settings and service design**

**O-19 COMMUNITY VOLUNTEERING IN ADULT HOSPICES: ANALYSIS OF THE EXTENT, BENEFITS AND BARRIERS**

Ros Scott, Jean Hindmarch. University of Dundee, Dundee, UK; Hospice UK, London, UK

**Background** Hospice volunteers have become increasingly involved in supporting patients, families and carers in the community. There is little known about the extent, structure and benefits of such services, or of barriers to development. Hospice UK undertook a mapping survey as part of a larger project.