In haematological malignancy high dose chemotherapy or bone marrow transplant commonly cause oropharyngeal mucositis. At Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (STH) there is joint working between palliative care (PCT) and haematology to manage these patients. The aim was to evaluate the service, implement improvements and re-evaluate.

Initial evaluation was performed against the STH Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for haematology patients suffering with mucositis and referred to PCT. Convenience sampling was used and data collected between April-October 2015. Case notes of 19 patients referred to PCT with mucositis were reviewed. The SOP recommends referral to PCT if third line interventions fail. The results of the initial evaluation showed that most patients, 18 and 17 patients respectively, had antacid-oxectacaine and lidocaine mouthwashes prescribed. Only 1 (5%) had the treatment fully optimised; i.e. alternating four hourly and to swallow. Fifteen patients (79%) were referred to PCT before completing third line treatment without systemic treatment with opioid being initiated.

Intervention An education programme and simple flowchart for prescribing was developed with a new SOP.

Final evaluation was performed on the next 11 sequential haematology patients with mucositis from February-April 2016. All patients had the mouthwashes prescribed with appropriate frequency and route. Six patients required systemic PRN or regular opioids. Four patients (67%) had PRN opioids prescribed appropriately. All transdermal patches (buprenorphine or fentanyl) were prescribed and titrated appropriately. One patient (9%) was referred to PCT team earlier than the flowchart recommended compared to 79% previously. A survey of staff reported increased confidence with prescribing and improvement in patient experience, with PCT only reviewing those with specialist needs.

Conclusion This service evaluation and improvement project has enhanced prescribing and the confidence of haematology team in managing those with mucositis.

Background
Global access to palliative care is improving but inadequate. In 2011, only 58% of countries had one or more palliative care service. Palliative care expertise and successful service models exist in low-income countries but the scale of demand indicates a role for additional international support to aid service expansion and training. Global health partnerships aim for mutual benefits; research shows that international health volunteering benefits volunteers and employers by developing professional and leadership skills. Health-related mentorship research in higher-income countries recognises wide-ranging benefits to mentors themselves. Less is known regarding the professional and institutional impacts of short-term international mentoring or if being a mentor in a low-income country offers transferable benefits for UK palliative care.

Aim To ascertain the personal and professional impact of being a mentor to a palliative care team in a low-income country.

Method UK-employed, palliative care clinicians: four consultants, two specialty trainees, and a nurse, were recruited from an international palliative care initiative incorporating mentorship. Semi-structured telephone interviews were recorded and analysed using interpretive phenomenological analysis.

Results All mentors described positive personal, professional and institutional impacts of short-term international mentoring or if being a mentor in a low-income country offers transferable benefits for UK palliative care.