injection of medication for symptom control in the community. It is impossible in time to allow him to die at home.

Discussion There is a striking lack of literature on managing patients prescribed diamorphine for addiction in a hospice. Our experience highlighted the need for close communication with the relevant Substance Misuse Team. Patient self-administration of intravenous diamorphine can continue, provided hospice inpatient policy criteria for self-administration of controlled drugs are satisfied. Where the indication for diamorphine use changes from addiction management to symptom control in the terminal phase, hospice doctors may take over prescribing [patient 2].

P-89 DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY SAFEGUARDS: DEVELOPING A LEAFLET TO HELP FAMILIES UNDERSTAND DOLS AND IMPLICATIONS AT EOL

Corina Midgley, Gada Yassin, Saint Francis Hospice, Romford, UK
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Most hospices have supported people needing treatment and care who do not have capacity to consent to it, who need constant care and would not be allowed to leave should they try. DoLS legislation was introduced to safeguard such people from misuse of restrictions to their freedoms. Hospices have varied in their interpretation/application of DoLS legislation, however what is widely shared is that the use of DoLS has caused distress to families, and delays to families in making arrangements after death. Our own experience of applying for DoLS for hospice inpatients is limited (4/374 admissions from April 2015-end March 16) but an increasing number of people in our community have a DoLS Standard Authorisation; usually care home residents with an illness affecting cognition (e.g. dementia; cancer affecting the brain). Death demands a Coroner’s investigation and inquest because death under a DoLS = death in custody. We have been concerned to better support families whose relative requires a DoLS, and to better prepare them for obligatory processes after death.

We are developing a leaflet using the experiences of a relative whose late husband had a DoLS, a carer who was distressed by the relevant Substance Misuse Team. Patient self-administration of diamorphine can continue, provided hospice inpatient policy criteria for self-administration of controlled drugs are satisfied. Where the indication for diamorphine use changes from addiction management to symptom control in the terminal phase, hospice doctors may take over prescribing [patient 2].

P-90 IMPROVING ACCESS TO PALLIATIVE CARE SERVICES FOR DIVERSE COMMUNITIES OF CARDIFF AND THE VALE

Shameen Nawaz, Marie Curie, Cardiff and the Vale Hospice, Penarth, UK
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This presentation will describe a current development project based at the Marie Curie Hospice in Wales, which aims to build relationships with the diverse communities of Cardiff and the Vale and in particular focusing on people with learning disabilities, people with dementia and people with a religious or no religious belief, to explore and address barriers that prevent access to palliative care services.

The project was funded in January 2016, for three years, by the Big Lottery Fund and aims to:

1. Develop links and improve communication with representative organisations in regards to dementia, learning disabilities and religion in the Cardiff and the Vale area to establish a better understanding of the end of life care needs and the gaps in service provision for these groups.
2. Identify specific barriers to service awareness and access for people with dementia, learning disabilities and of a religious background in the local community in Cardiff and the Vale.
3. Advise Marie Curie services in Cardiff and the Vale on learning.
4. Work directly with people with dementia, learning disabilities and of a religious background and their carers/families to provide support and advice on services.

This presentation will outline the project activity and outline barriers identified to date as well as discuss future project activity planned.

P-91 THE CONCEPT OF PREDICTING FUTURE RISK IN GUIDING REFERRAL TO SPECIALIST PALLIATIVE CARE SERVICES

Beth Mackay, Beth Goundry, Andrew Thorns, Declan Cawley, Pilgrims Hospice, Margate, UK
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Identifying and responding to patient and carer need is fundamental in providing holistic end-of-life care. Numerous assessment tools are currently in use to facilitate this and whilst the advantage of these is recognised they only offer a snapshot assessment in what is often a long disease journey. It is the role of the specialist palliative care team to anticipate future needs that may not be immediately apparent but pose a risk to patients’ or their loved ones in the future.

We propose the concept of risk factors for a negative death experience. These risks may not necessarily cause difficulty in the present but should alert health professionals that the patient is at risk of a turbulent disease trajectory. This is a new concept, with little supporting evidence at present.

Our review of the current literature base and local nominal group discussions have identified the following features as risk factors for a negative outcome for patients and their loved ones:

- Poor engagement with advance care planning
- Carer strain related to palliative illness
- Self-perceived burden
- Patient-carer mismatch
- High levels of service use
- Bereavement risk factors.

It is anticipated that earlier specialist palliative input in situations where these risk factors are present offers greater opportunity to intervene in order to ameliorate risk, resulting in better care for the patient and improved outcomes for those close to them.