consultation with a family member participating and 52.9% had included an external health professional. Wellbeing staff had also successfully provided multiple group support sessions via video for both patients and carers. The respondents thought video consultations were efficient and convenient for hospice professionals (80.6%) and patients (67.7%). As a consequence of the rapid shift to video consultations, our results highlighted that 78% of respondents had received no formal training, and in addition, 39% reported some technical difficulties. Overall 80.7% wanted to offer video consultations as an option in the future.

Conclusion Hospice professionals have quickly adapted to video consultations and are keen to continue to offer this service in the future but need appropriate training and reliable videoconferencing technology in order to do this effectively. Videoconferencing can be used in creative ways to expand access for patients and family caregivers to a range of palliative care services and enhance multi-professional teamwork.
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Introduction During the Covid-19 pandemic, our hospice services had to rapidly move to consulting using video-conferencing technology. A service evaluation captured our patients’ experiences of video consultations provided by a range of hospice professionals.

Methods An online or postal survey was sent to a convenience sample of patients, who had participated in video consultations between March and June 2020, focussing on their experience and overall satisfaction with the service.

Results We received 26 responses from patients (23) and family members/carers (3), 12 of whom were over the age of 70. Patients had consulted with our doctors (2), nurses (14), physiotherapists (5), occupational therapist (1), social worker (1), complementary therapist (4) and Wellbeing team (17). 25 respondents stated that they used the internet daily, 22 had access to a smartphone, and 23 used videoconferencing for reasons other than healthcare. However, 22 had never used this technology for healthcare prior to the pandemic. 32% included a family member in their consultation and this was viewed as beneficial. 88.4% experienced being able to talk in the same way as if they were face-to-face. All respondents reported overall satisfaction with consulting via video, although 41.7% still stated a preference for face-to-face consultations where possible. 91.7% said they would be happy to use video to consult with hospice professionals in the future, and 87.5% stated that they would recommend this service video to others.

Conclusions Patients and carers reported video consultations to be an acceptable way to receive support from a range of hospice professionals. The majority had access to, and familiarity with their own technology. Video consultations should be offered as an alternative to face-to-face consultations with the potential to improve access for more patients and carers to a range of hospice services in the future.