advance care planning was experienced early in a disease trajectory.

Results Six articles were identified, critically appraised and used for data extraction and synthesis. These studies were synthesised using meta-aggregation and the following themes were established:

1) There are important communication considerations when effectively delivering advance care planning in hospital. The process of information sharing plays a fundamental role in communication.

2) Both professional and organisational barriers exist in hospital-based advance care planning which are compounded by the medicalised approach of hospital admissions and perceived differences between the professions involved.

3) Hospital healthcare professionals express feelings of uncertainty but are less likely to discuss or utilise other emotional responses.

Conclusion This literature review reveals there continues to be barriers that hinder how hospital healthcare professionals, not working in palliative care, experience involvement in advance care planning with patients at the end of life. It is suggested further research focuses on validated and effective models of education and that implementation of advance care planning is approached inter-professionally.

79 DOES THE ANTICIPATION OF DEATH INCREASE THE LIKELIHOOD OF HOSPITAL INPATIENTS RECEIVING SPIRITUAL OR RELIGIOUS CARE DURING THEIR FINAL ADMISSION? RESULTS OF AN AUDIT OF PATIENT RECORDS FROM A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE IN CENTRAL ENGLAND

Sian Warner, Jon Tomas. Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, University Hospitals Birmingham

10.1136/spcare-2021-PCC.97

Background The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2015) states that end of life patients should receive a holistic, tailored care plan, encompassing physical and psychological treatment, alongside social, emotional, spiritual and religious support. Spiritual and religious support has proven to be an important facet of palliative care.

Objectives To investigate whether the anticipation and recognition of death during hospital inpatients’ final admission increases the likelihood of these patients receiving spiritual or religious care at the end of life.

Methods Anticipation of death was measured using three markers: (1) initiation of comfort observations (CO), (2) completion of a DNA CPR form, (3) visit from the palliative care team. Records of patients’ final admission were scrutinised for evidence of anticipation of death and spiritual or religious care. Documented chaplaincy visits were utilised as surrogate markers of spiritual or religious care.

Results The sample population comprised patients who died in hospital in August 2019; 116 patients were included. Only 18.1% of the total population received a chaplaincy visit. This percentage increased when death was anticipated using the three markers. If seen by the palliative team, the percentage visited by a chaplain increased to 38.3%, if CO were initiated, 21.8%, and with a DNA CPR in place, 20.2%

Conclusions Current efforts in providing spiritual and religious care are poor; under a quarter of end of life hospital inpatients received spiritual or religious care in the form of chaplaincy. Anticipation of death increased the likelihood of end of life patients receiving spiritual or religious care, particularly palliative care involvement, and this represents an important opportunity to improve provision of this care.

80 A DEATH BY ANY OTHER NAME: THE USE OF EUPHEMISMS IN THE MEDICAL NOTES OF PATIENTS DYING IN A LARGE TERTIARY CARE CENTRE IN CENTRAL ENGLAND

Sona Petrosyan, Louisa Nelms, Jon Tomas. University of Birmingham College of Medical and Dental Sciences, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham

10.1136/spcare-2021-PCC.98

Introduction Care of the dying person begins with the recognition that they are approaching the last days of life (LDOL), but there is no universal definition for ‘dying’. Variations in terminology can be misinterpreted by patients, relatives, and healthcare professionals (HCPs) alike. The main aims of the present study were to: 1) describe the different ways clinicians document in the medical notes when someone is thought to be in the LDOL, and 2) determine the prevalence of explicit phrasing about the LDOL, viz., the use of the term ‘dying’ or some alteration thereof.

Method A list of all inpatients who died at the Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham in August 2019 was obtained from Bereavement Services (N=142). Patients on A&E and ITU, patients admitted for less than 24-hours, or those who died unexpectedly were excluded. Individual patient case notes spanning 7 days prior to death were retrospectively scrutinised for the terminology used in the documentation of LDOL. Data were tabulated and analysed using Microsoft Excel.

Results The records of 66 decedents were analysed. Explicit documentation (i.e. ‘this patient is dying’ or variants) occurred for 15 patients (22.7%). Of the remaining 51 patients, whilst there was no explicit documentation, an estimate of life expectancy was stated for 11 patients (16.7%). For the remaining 40 patients (60.6%), for whom there was no explicit documentation or an estimate of life expectancy provided, the most common terms used to describe LDOL were: ‘prognosis poor’, ‘end of life’, ‘deterioration’ and ‘very unwell’.

Conclusion This project has highlighted a clear disparity amongst clinicians regarding their documentation of dying; explicit documentation occurred infrequently. It is not known what the impact of this is on HCP-patient/relative or HCP-HCP communication. Poor written communication could well reflect poor quality of LDOL care. Further studies are needed.

81 A QUALITATIVE STUDY OF FAMILY CARER EXPERIENCE OF ANTICIPATORY PRESCRIBING OF INJECTABLE MEDICATIONS FOR END OF LIFE CARE AT HOME

Victoria Hedges, Bee Wee, Charlie Bond. Oxford University Hospitals Foundation Trust

10.1136/spcare-2021-PCC.99

Background Healthcare professionals believe that anticipatory prescribing of injectable medications provides reassurance, improves symptom control and prevents hospital admissions. Carers’ roles are intertwined with use of these medications in
the home, but little is known about how anticipatory prescribing impacts on them.

**Method** Semi-structured interviews were undertaken with bereaved carers to explore their experiences, feelings and perspectives relating to when a family member was prescribed anticipatory medications at home. Interviews were conducted throughout the Covid pandemic with changing restrictions, such that the last interviews were conducted in December 2020. Eight participants were recruited in liaison with the community palliative care team; their views about how the medications were introduced and used were recorded. Three interviews were via video-consultation, the others in person. Recruitment was terminated when data saturation was achieved, and transcripts were reviewed using thematic content analysis.

**Results** Three main themes were identified. 1) Anticipatory medications were accepted in the home (despite inadequate explanation) because symptoms or suffering were expected. 2) Medications did not have the presumed effect (with a perception of lack of benefit and harm). 3) Emotional burden on carers (dealing with death alongside feelings of loss of control and being unheard).

**Conclusion** This study suggests a mismatch between the intended benefits and actual contribution of anticipatory prescribing to end of life care at home. Perceptive explanation, accounting for distressing circumstances, needs to be provided to carers when these medications are introduced, including expected benefits and limitations. Such prescribing should not be a surrogate for naming dying. The interviews reinforced the inequality between hospice care and dying at home, particularly in the out of hours setting. Hospice at home care positively influences carers’ experiences. The Covid pandemic changed the approach to dying. Visiting restrictions in hospices became a determinant to die at home, but fewer healthcare professionals made home visits.

**Results** 48 of 33985 studies from Europe, North America, Asia and Australasia were included (32 quantitative, 9 qualitative, 7 mixed methods). This represented 32585 members of the public (aged 18 to 101 years; 54% women). Synthesis found considerable differences in public perception of where palliative care can be accessed. Some understood palliative care to be available in a hospice institution but less so at home. Levels of knowledge about hospice access was low and thought to be available only to those living near a hospice. Availability of palliative care in hospital was variably known, with some, viewing palliative care as only available in hospital, and that once receiving hospice care, further admissions to hospital were precluded; this likely reflects the particular use of the two terms (palliative care, hospice) in the US.

**Conclusion** In the context of poor understanding of palliative care, public perceptions of where care is available are mixed. Terminology appears to add to the confusion. Better public understanding of where palliative care is offered may improve access to this care, improve quality of life and reduce healthcare costs.

**Background** Spiritual care is an essential component of Palliative Care (PC) and a dimension of holistic care that preserves dignity and helps sick people to find meaning in suffering and in life. The knowledge about its implementation is still scarce in the Portuguese context. This study aimed to evaluate the facilities, barriers and needs for spiritual care in PC.

**Method** We conducted a descriptive cross-sectional survey of 180 health professionals in the period November 2018 to September 2019 at PC units. The data were collected through an online questionnaire published on social networks, after approval by an Ethics Committee. Sociodemographic and professional data, spiritual beliefs and knowledge about the objectives and purposes of PCs were collected. These were evaluated using an instrument consisting of 26 statements of dichotomous response (true/false).

**Results** The average age of participants was 42.42 years (SD=11.35), most are female (82.2%), nurses (43.9%), and 70% work in the health field. The total sample has worked on average for 15.67 ± 10.28 years and more than half (58.9%) perform functions in palliative care. Most identify with a religious belief (87.8%), attaching great importance to a religious/spiritual belief (85.2%) and the organization of spiritual care (53.9%). The results also highlight the need for specific training in the area of spirituality, hope and intervention strategies.

**Conclusion** This study has provided insight into spiritual care in PC in Portugal. Future studies are necessary to investigate the effects of spiritual care more fully, and to develop outcome measurements that appropriately capture the effects of the variety of spiritual care practices.