RE-AUDIT OF THE ASSESSMENT OF THE USE OF INTRAVENOUS/SUBCUTANEOUS FLUIDS IN PATIENTS IN THE LAST DAYS OF LIFE

Thomas Mayer, Katharine Fox, Emma Shereston, Eleanor M Smith. Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Background Maintaining hydration at the end of life can be emotive and controversial. Practice varies widely regarding use of clinically assisted hydration (CAH) and there is lack of evidence-based guidance. Communication and documentation surrounding CAH and mouth care is essential. Practice on a Palliative Care Unit was assessed, comparing to results from 2017 and 2018.

Methods Retrospective review of medical notes for deaths between 1st October-31st December 2019. A data collection tool utilised during previous audit cycles was used to ensure comparable results. Patients not identified in the dying phase and sudden deaths were excluded.

Results A reduction in documented discussions about hydration, the risks/benefits of this (44% vs 60% 2017, 73% 2018) and mouth care (32% vs 35% 2017, 61% 2018) with patients and/or those important to them were identified. Where prescribed fluids were not completely delivered, reasons for this in previous years were documented in 100% of cases, reducing to just 80% in 2019. There was a decrease in documented reasons for stopping fluids prior to death (90% vs 80% 2017, 100% 2018) and discussion of this with the patient and/or those important to them (20% vs 50% 2017, 20% 2018). Fluids were most commonly prescribed for thirst and dry mouth, and stopped due to chest secretions and pooling.

Conclusion Introduction of a consultant ward round sticker prompting individualised hydration and mouth care plans following cycle 1 (2017) initially resulted in an improvement of discussions about hydration and mouth care, and documented reasons for any changes. Whilst the stickers provide evidence that hydration and mouth care are always considered, there is a decrease in documented discussions surrounding this. It is possible due to lack of documentation, rather than a failure to discuss and may have been contributed to by a change in personnel, highlighting a need for education.

WITHDRAWAL OF NON-INVASIVE VENTILATION IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 RESPIRATORY FAILURE AT KETTERING GENERAL HOSPITAL

Rebecca Payne, Fiona Wiseman, David Wenzel, Sidra Kiran, Fayyaz Hussain. Northamptonshire Healthcare Foundation Trust, Kettering General Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Background There is little published work regarding simulation training in end of life care for registered nurses. Simulation training offers the opportunity to learn in a safe environment, without compromising patient safety.

Methods Each simulation day covered five scenarios, written by Specialist Palliative Care Clinical Nurse Specialists (SPC CNS), based on real cases that ward nurses had reported difficulty in managing. Scenarios included seizures, pain, breathlessness, care planning in last days of life, breaking bad news on the telephone, and dealing with distressed relatives.

In each scenario there was an HCA in the room and a doctor available for telephone advice. The patient and relative were either played by the actor or the ‘SimMan’. The candidates participated in pairs and the remaining candidates observed via video-link.

The subsequent debrief utilising ‘The Diamond’ model was led by a Palliative Medicine Consultant and SPC CNS. This model encourages description, analysis and application of learning in a non-judgemental way.

Results Pre and post-simulation day feedback was collected via a 1 - 5 scale and revealed:

- confidence to manage physical symptoms increased (3.15 vs 4.25)
- confidence in communication increased (3.3 vs 4.24)
- candidates learnt something new from the day (free text)
- scenarios were rated as useful/very useful
- confidence with simulation training was unchanged (3 vs 3)