Background Substance misuse (including alcohol) is a growing issue nationally with physical, psychological, social and spiritual consequences making it very relevant in palliative care, especially as patients often require medications for symptom control with the potential for misuse. Research and national guidance specific to palliative care is limited. It has been suggested substance misuse is under-recognised within palliative care and that patients should be routinely assessed for it.

Aims To evaluate regional practice and confidence among palliative care professionals in identifying patients with substance misuse as part of updating the 2009 regional guidelines on this topic.

Methods A retrospective multi-centre audit of palliative care professionals working across community, hospice and hospital settings in Mersey was conducted including questions on previous experience, screening and confidence in identifying patients with substance misuse.

Results 72 palliative care professionals responded (23% community, 37% hospital, 40% hospice). A wide range of substance misuse had been encountered including alcohol (96%), cannabis (80%), intravenous heroin (67%) and opioids (65%). Routine screening for substance misuse was uncommon: 21% for alcohol and 6% for other substance misuse. Only 6% routinely used a formal screening tool.

63% of respondents felt fairly to very confident in their ability to identify substance misuse, 13% felt fairly to very unconfident. There was a high volume of responses regarding the need for general education and training relating to managing substance misuse.

Conclusions Within Mersey palliative care professionals have experienced patients presenting with a variety of types of substance misuse. Although many respondents felt confident in their ability to detect substance misuse, there was a lack of routine screening and formal screening tools were used infrequently. This could lead to under-recognition and a lack of appropriate support for patients. Clear education needs were identified and updated regional guidance is in the process of being created.

Conclusion This evaluation of the use of fragonia essential oil by patients in this way, appears to show a trend in improved sleep pattern. On the basis of this, the aim would be to carry out a piece of research, using validated scales and comparing cream with fragonia oil with a sample of patients with the cream alone.

Introduction Patients are often referred to palliative care at a stage when they are rapidly deteriorating and prognosis is short, meaning they receive a reactive service and have limited opportunity to benefit. The term ‘palliative care’ and its association with death for both professionals and patients, deters earlier referral to and engagement with the service. Palliative care can improve symptom control, quality of life and ensure patients’ priorities and preferences are met. It can impact on interactions with other health care services.

Methods We developed a new service for all patients diagnosed with incurable upper gastrointestinal cancers, delivering a proactive palliative care style of patient centred care earlier. This was delivered as the Enhanced Supportive Care (ESC) CQUIN. The ESC service was outpatient based and consultant led. Patients were identified through MDTs and rapidly offered assessment. Follow-up depended on needs. The IPOS questionnaire supported needs assessment. Patients were referred to other services as needed. Information was collected and comparison of outcomes made to data for a comparable period the preceding year.

Results Patients offered ESC or palliative care review at diagnosis increased (43%-93%) The service was acceptable to most (85% accepted) Symptom burden was high - 82% had at least one severe/overwhelming symptom at diagnosis

On follow-up, average severity score of 11/13 symptoms had improved
A HOSPICE BASED RENAL SUPPORTIVE CARE SERVICE: AN EVALUATION OF THE FIRST 3 YEARS

Jane Whitehurst, Sue Goodall, Ellie Hayter, Kevin Blackett. St Barnabas House

Background St Barnabas House launched a Renal Supportive Care (RSC) Service in April 2016. This consists of a RSC Clinical Nurse Specialist (CNS), consultant support and joint working with the local secondary care renal team. Since 2016, 110 patients with advanced renal disease (CKD 5) have been referred to our RSC service, approximately 3% of the hospice’s total referrals each year from a baseline of <1%. We audited the service to measure impact and inform ongoing service development.

Methods We performed a retrospective audit of system 1 data and data prospectively collected by the RSC CNS for patients on the RSC caseload between April 2016 to August 2019. Data included referral source, reason, duration, outcome and information regarding intervention, advance care planning (ACP) and place of death.

Results 139 referrals were received for 110 patients. Mean duration of referral was 105 days range (1–1103). Referrals were made for symptom control (42%), ACP (39%), psychological support (13%), decision making support - dialysis versus conservative care (6%) and stopping dialysis (2%), patients imminently dying were referred to the non-disease specific CNS team. 49% of patients accessed another hospice service eg Day Hospice in addition to RSC CNS input. Face-to-face patient contacts occurred in patient’s homes (83%), outpatients (16%) and hospital (1%). 66 patients were discharged following a completed care episode, 29 of these were re-referred. 52 patients died, 38 (73%) had their preferred place of death (PPD) recorded prior to death. In this group 1 (3%) died in hospital. The rate of hospital death increased to 46% (6 out of 14) in patients without a documented PPD.

Conclusions The RSC service at StBH has increased access to specialist palliative care for people with advanced renal disease. Participation in ACP for these patients increased the likelihood of achieving a death outside of hospital.

COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF COPD MANAGEMENT IN THE UK

Mitri A Ng, King’s College London

Introduction Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a long-term condition characterised by breathlessness. The WHO has projected a 30% increase in total COPD deaths worldwide over the next decade. In 2012, COPD accounted for 29,776 deaths in the UK, and it costs the NHS over £8,000,000 annually.

Method This systematic review was conducted via searches on PubMed, using key words ‘COPD’, ‘Management’, ‘Cost-effectiveness’, ‘exercise’, ‘QALY’, ‘UK’ and ‘Pulmonary Rehabilitation’. Studies with non-cost-effective interventions were removed. Studies using ‘Quality Adjusted Life Years’ and/or Incremental cost-effectiveness ratio were considered for this review. Prices were adjusted for inflation.

Results Studies reviewed suggest that current standard care is cost-effective by NICE standards (£20,000 to £30,000 per QALY). Cost effectiveness was improved with the addition of roflumilast to ICS/LABA therapy, where adding roflumilast led to an ICER of £24,976 per QALY gained for severe and very severe COPD in 2018. Tiotropium (£1934–£2548 in 2018) per QALY proved to be a superior treatment over ipratropium (£2256–£2973 in 2018) per QALY and salmeterol (£2143–£2823 in 2018) per QALY). Umeclidinium bromide added onto ICS/LABA therapy led to an ICER of £1310 per QALY, an improvement over Tiotropium. Adding pulmonary rehabilitation to treatment for COPD was likely to result in financial benefits, with mean incremental cost of adding PR was -£152 (-£200 in 2018). Physical activity improved cost-effectiveness versus sedentary lifestyle, reducing exacerbations and increasing QALYs.

Conclusion Current medical management is well optimized with regards to price per QALY gained. Umeclidinium should be considered for treatment instead of tiotropium. Physical Activity reduces exacerbations, increases QALYs and improves cost-effectiveness. However, data on cost-effectiveness of PR for COPD were insufficient. Furthermore, cost-effectiveness data of medical treatment should be updated as drug prices may have changed.

Implementing Patient Centred Goals into a Breathlessness Symptom Management Clinic

Camilla Barrow, Ruth Roberts. Birmingham St Mary’s Hospice

Introduction Space to breathe is a 5 week programme, with a rehabilitative focus, launched in January 2019 and designed through a Breathlessness steering group. A literature review recommended the use of the Goal Attainment Score (GAS) (Brighton 2018) and this was included into holistic measures of the breathlessness service. GAS light was chosen to reduce user burden and form part of the assessment of the service, complementing the rest of the Outcome Assessment Complexity Collaborative (OACC) suite and Chronic respiratory Questionnaire (CRQ) and Medical Research Council score of breathlessness (MRC) scores improved.

Method The programme is based on the Cambridge Breathing Thinking Functioning approach (Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust 2019) and with a focus on mastery of symptoms of breathlessness. Sessions include taught educational sessions followed by group discussions and exercise. Participants were supported by carers and clinicians to set patient identified SMART goals. Gas light