Wheatfields hospice. We conducted 4 focus groups with six service users and 17 professionals involved in palliative care. We analysed these using thematic analysis with two independent coders.

**Findings** We found several major themes. Service users identified access to their GP as a major barrier. Service users and healthcare professionals also identified discrimination and lack of trust in professionals. Healthcare professionals identified a lack of experience and education in caring for this group, but stated they were keen to develop this. Service users also identified education around what palliative care is as a major barrier to accessing services. Despite these themes both professionals and service users described their real life experience as positive.

**Conclusion** Although the experience of both service users and professionals in the context of palliative care in this community has been positive we have identified barriers to developing these services further. Flexible services are required to ensure holistic, and culturally sensitive care. This requires collaboration between services, with access to GPs being identified as a crucial area to start.
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**Introduction** General Practitioners (GPs) face challenges when providing palliative care, including an ageing, multimorbid population, falling GP numbers and increasing demand. A ‘public health palliative care’ (PHPC) approach is gaining momentum, and is defined as ‘working with communities to improve people’s experience of death, dying and Bereavement’. ‘Compassionate communities’ is one example, supporting patients by linking professional health carers and community networks. Primary care is central to the approach, which has been incorporated into General Practice Daffodil Standards, however there is little evidence of GP perspectives of these approaches. Our aim, therefore, was to explore GP perceptions of PHPC and ‘compassionate communities’.

**Methods** Using snowball sampling, GPs were recruited through university teaching and research networks. Additionally, purposive sampling ensured widespread representation of gender, level of experience and practice populations. Following informed consent, semi-structured, digitally audio-recorded interviews were conducted. Interviews were transcribed verbatim, and thematic analysis was undertaken. Interviews continued until data saturation was reached.

**Results** Nine GPs were interviewed. Most were unfamiliar with ‘compassionate communities’, but recognised examples within their practice. Three themes identified perceived benefits of the model: ‘increasing awareness of community services’; ‘combating taboo’; and ‘embracing health outside of healthcare’. Three themes identified perceived barriers: ‘limited time and funding’; ‘patient safety concerns’; and ‘integration with current care’. Some GPs perceived the PHPC approach as a distinct social issue outside of ‘proper’ palliative care and General Practice. Others envisaged a GP ‘signpost’ role, supporting a community-led system.

**Conclusion** GPs recognised the importance of the wider community in caring for palliative care patients, but had differing views regarding the application of ‘compassionate communities’ and their position within this. Understanding more about the model’s practical implementation and exploring potential service-users’ views would help establish the feasibility of ‘compassionate communities’ in practice.

**165** EXISTENTIAL SUFFERING IN THE DAY-TO-DAY LIVES OF THOSE LIVING WITH PALLIATIVE CARE NEEDS ARISING FROM CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE

Louise E Bolton, Jane Seymour, Clare Gardiner. University of Sheffield

10.1136/spcare-2020-PCC.185

COPD is predicted to become the 3rd biggest cause of death worldwide by 2030, placing palliative care provision a high